

## GCU Program Assessment Plan (Updated 2016)

## **Program: English Learning Outcomes:**

Upon successful completion of the program of studies for the (39 credits in the English Major), the student will earn a Bachelor of Arts degree and will have given evidence of the following program outcomes:

LO1: [Aligned with Goals 1 & 4-- "Competency in critical and/or creative written work"]  $\rightarrow$  Students will regularly submit critical literary analysis and/or creative essays in required English Program courses. These assignments will be assessed with the program's rubrics and the student will upload final edits of selected signature assignments in their English Program Portfolio. All research based-work will be evaluated for adherence to MLA standards.

LO2: [Aligned with Goal 2-- "Effective Oral Communication Skills on select topics related to British, American, multi-ethnic, and world literature]  $\rightarrow$  Students will give oral presentations based on course readings, assigned research, and critical analysis protocols in required English Program courses. These presentations will be assessed with the program's oral presentation rubric with directed feedback for improvement in prepared and extemporaneous oral presentation skills.

LO3: [Aligned with Goals 3 and 5—" Demonstrate perceptive thinking through formalist analysis and other critical reading strategies in and awareness of historical context in literature presentations or papers"]  $\rightarrow$  Students will develop perceptive thinking, critical reading strategies, and/or awareness of historical context through research and reading assignments in required English Program courses. These skills will be assessed through directed discussions both in class and online, written reflection, and rubric feedback on related assignments.



Program: English	LO 1 : Critical Literary Analysis	LO 2 : Effective Oral Communication	LO 3 : Perceptive Thinking
Related ISLG	#1 Communicate	#1 Communicate	#8 Demonstrate
(Undergraduate)	effectively in written	effectively in	analytical skills to
( 0 /	English; #2 Apply critical	spoken English;	appreciate the aesthetic;
	thinking, problem-solving	#9 Demonstrate	#10 Demonstrate
	and research skill	leadership skills	awareness of diversity
		1	issues
<b>Mapping of Progr</b>	am's courses to Program L	earning Outcomes	•
Where do	EN300; EN301; EN302;	EN265, EN300,	EN300; EN301; EN302;
students learn	EN314; EN318; EN319;	EN429, EN430	EN314; EN318; EN319;
this? In what	EN325; EN 326; EN310;	,	EN325; EN 326;
course(s) and/or	EN321; EN322; EN323;		EN310; EN321; EN322;
co-curricular	EN324; EN327; EN370;		EN323; EN324; EN327;
experience(s)?	EN375; EN376; EN418;		EN370; EN375; EN376;
Γ	EN429; EN430.		EN418; EN429; EN430.
	Electives:		Electives:
	EN213;EN221;EN226;		EN213;EN221;EN226;
	EN230; EN260; EN264;		EN230; EN260; EN264;
	EN265; EN405;		EN265; EN405;
How and when wi	Ill you assess all students in	the program?	
Formative	EN300;	EN300;	EN300; EN301/EN302,
Assessment will	EN core courses	EN core courses	EN326; EN375; EN370
occur in			
Summative	EN430	EN429	EN430
Assessment will	LITISO		LITISO
occur in			
	rol		
Assessment Proto			
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm	nent	Signature	Signature assignment
Assessment Proto	nent Development of English	Signature assignment that is	Signature assignment,
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm	<i>nent</i> Development of English portfolio with signature	assignment that is	e.g. final research paper,
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm	<i>nent</i> Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final	assignment that is an oral	e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm	<i>nent</i> Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final research paper, as	assignment that is an oral presentation,	e.g. final research paper,
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm	<i>nent</i> Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common	assignment that is an oral presentation, evaluated by a	e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm Direct Evidence	<i>nent</i> Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric	assignment that is an oral presentation, evaluated by a rubric for this LO	e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm	nent Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D,	assignment that is an oral presentation, evaluated by a rubric for this LO SIRS data for	e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm Direct Evidence	nent Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300	assignment that is an oral presentation, evaluated by a rubric for this LO SIRS data for sections D, F, G,	e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm Direct Evidence	nent Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300 and specified EN300	assignment that is an oral presentation, evaluated by a rubric for this LO SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300	e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300 and specified
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm Direct Evidence	nent Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300	assignment that is an oral presentation, evaluated by a rubric for this LO SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300 and specified	e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from
Assessment Proto Formative Assessm Direct Evidence	nent Development of English portfolio with signature assignment, e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300 and specified EN300	assignment that is an oral presentation, evaluated by a rubric for this LO SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300	e.g. final research paper, as evaluated by common rubric SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN300 and specified



LO 1 : Critical Literary Analysis	LO 2 : Effective Oral Communication	LO 3 : Perceptive Thinking
Final Senior Portfolio scoring rubric criteria 1 and 4	Signature assignment, e.g., Seminar Leadership, as evaluated by a rubric	Signature assignment, e.g. Final Research Paper as evaluated by a rubric
SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN429/430	SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN429/430	SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN429/430
nent		
Signature Assignment (final research paper for EN300) e.g. Using the current Sr. Portfolio Scoring rubric, at least 80% of students will achieve a min or above "2" the "developing" level for the criteria related to the outcome. *normative data obtained from 1 <sup>st</sup> yr.	Signature assignment (oral presentation in EN300) with a common rubric *normative data obtained from 1 <sup>st</sup> yr.	Signature Assignment (final research paper for EN300 or other designated 300 level course) e.g. Using the current Sr. Portfolio Scoring rubric, at least 80% of students will achieve a min or above "2" the "developing" level for the criteria related to the outcome. *normative data obtained from 1 <sup>st</sup> yr.
SIRS II e.g. At least 90% of students completed the SIRS II survey in assigned classes with survey, with an overall satisfaction level of 3 ("moderately effective) out of 5 *normative data obtained from 1 <sup>st</sup> yr.	SIRS II e.g. At least 90% of students completed the SIRS II survey in assigned classes with survey, with an overall satisfaction level of 3 ("moderately effective) out of 5	SIRS II e.g. At least 90% of students completed the SIRS II survey in assigned classes with survey, with an overall satisfaction level of 3 ("moderately effective) out of 5
	Analysis   Final Senior Portfolio   scoring rubric criteria 1   and 4   SIRS data for sections D,   F, G, H, I from   EN429/430   ent   Signature Assignment   (final research paper for   EN300)   e.g. Using the current Sr.   Portfolio Scoring rubric,   at least 80% of students   will achieve a min or   above "2" the   "developing" level for the   criteria related to the   outcome.   *normative data obtained   from 1 <sup>st</sup> yr.   SIRS II   e.g. At least 90% of   students completed the   SIRS II survey in   assigned classes with   survey, with an overall   satisfaction level of 3   ("moderately effective)   out of 5   *normative data obtained	AnalysisOral CommunicationFinal Senior Portfolio scoring rubric criteria 1 and 4Signature assignment, e.g., Seminar Leadership, as evaluated by a rubricSIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN429/430SIRS data for sections D, F, G, H, I from EN429/430signature Assignment (final research paper for EN300) e.g. Using the current Sr. Portfolio Scoring rubric, at least 80% of students will achieve a min or above "2" the "developing" level for the criteria related to the outcome.Signature assigned classes with survey, with an overall satisfaction level of 3 ("moderately effective) out of 5 *normative data obtained from 1stSIRS II survey, with an overall satisfaction level of 3 ("moderately effective) out of 5 *normative data obtained



Program:	LO1: Critical Literary	LO 2 : Effective	LO 3 : Perceptive
English	Analysis	Oral	Thinking
		Communication	
Direct Evidence	Senior Portfolio:	Signature	Signature Assignment of
	e.g. Using the current Sr.	Assignment of the	the Final Seminar
	Portfolio Scoring rubric,	Seminar	Research Paper, students
	at least 80% of students	Leadership, 80%	will achieve a minimum
	will achieve a min or	of students will	of "accomplished" level
	"moderately	achieve a	on this criteria in the
	effective/accomplished"	minimum of	rubric related to the
	(3 out of 5) for criteria 1	"accomplished"	outcome.
	&4 of the rubric which	level on the	
	relate to the outcome.	criteria of the	
	**normative data	rubric related to	
	obtained from 1 <sup>st</sup> yr.	the outcome.	
Indirect Evidence	SIRS e.g. At least 90% of students completed the SIRS II survey in assigned classes with survey, with an overall satisfaction level of 3 ("moderately effective) out of 5	SIRS e.g. At least 90% of students completed the SIRS II survey in assigned classes with survey, with an overall satisfaction level of 3 ("moderately effective) out of 5	SIRS e.g. At least 90% of students completed the SIRS II survey in assigned classes with survey, with an overall satisfaction level of 3 ("moderately effective) out of 5
	Year 1. Data collected as	Year 2. Data	Year 3. Data collected
	available.	collected as available.	as available.



## GCU Program Assessment <u>Report</u> Form

GCU Program Assessment Annual Report
Program:
Division:
Date:
Program Assessment Liaison:
Based on the above plan and the designated outcome(s) assessed for the academic year, the
major program submits a Program Assessment Report annually that contains the program
assessment plan, assessment data and analysis, and action steps to be taken by the program
based on these results. See below for the outline of this report.
Learning Outcome(s) Assessed:
Description of the Assessment Protocol.
Assessment Data and Findings.
Analysis of Data:
Are these results satisfactory? Why or Why not?
Action Plan based on Assessment Results:
Time Frame for Action Plan:

Assessment Data: Please include the data that you used to complete the above report. Attach rubrics, tallies, and method of validation.