

Office of Assessment - Provost Office Janet Thiel, OSF, PhD, Director of Assessment

GCU Academic Program Assessment Plan (Updated Fall 2016)

Program: Teacher of Students with Disabilities (TSWD)

Learning Outcomes: Upon successful completion of the certification program in Teacher of Students with Disabilities, the student will earn a credentialing certificate and will have given evidence of the following program outcomes:

LO1: Discipline specific knowledge of disabilities in Special Education

Students will present research on identified disabilities and have content knowledge testing exams.

<u>Evidence</u>: In-class presentations on a detailed analysis of one disability, mid-term and final testing, and a keystone assignment on key federal legislation, rules, and procedures governing special education will be completed.

LO2: Planning Appropriate Instruction

Students will develop a curriculum guide for students with disabilities and complete an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for a student requiring accommodations and modifications.

Evidence: A keystone assignment will include a case study for IEP.

LO3: Resources and Programming for Student with special needs

Students will prepare and develop a resource manual for school professional use to assist students with and without disabilities.

Evidence: Keystone assignment will include a resource portfolio

LO4: Regulations for Special Education

Students will identify Special Education identification processes based on principles of the Individuals with Disabilities Act (IDEA).

Evidence: Keystone assignment – Case study interpretation of assessment data

Program:	LO 1	LO 2	LO 3	LO 4
Related ISLG (Undergraduate)				
Related BRIDGE General Education Goals (if applicable)				
Related Accreditation Standard (if applicable)	NJPST; NJSETA; CEC; LD	NJPST; NJSETA; CEC	NJPST; CEC; CACREP CC; SCB	NJPST; NJSETA; CEC; CACREP CC; SCB
Program Courses and Experiential Learning Mapping to Program Outcomes				

1



Office of Assessment - Provost Office Janet Thiel, OSF, PhD, Director of Assessment

Program:	LO 1	LO 2	LO 3	LO 4			
How do students learn this? In what course(s) and/or co- curricular experience(s)?	EDC5030 EDC5034	EDC5031 EDC5035 EDC5036	EDC5032	EDC5030 EDC5037			
How and in what <u>course(s)</u> do they demonstrate that they have <u>achieved</u> this outcome.							
Formative Assessment will occur in							
Summative Assessment will occur in	EDC5030	EDC5036	EDC5032	EDC5037			
Assessment Protoco	ol	1					
How and when do graduate and recor			udents in your pro	ogram before they			
Formative Assessm	ent						
Direct Evidence							
Direct Evidence	nent						
Direct Evidence Indirect Evidence	nent Keystone Assignment as evaluated by a rubric	Keystone Assignment as evaluated by a rubric	Keystone Assignment as evaluated by a rubric	Keystone Assignment as evaluated by a rubric			
Direct Evidence Indirect Evidence Summative Assessr	Keystone Assignment as evaluated by a	Assignment as evaluated by a	Assignment as evaluated by a	Assignment as evaluated by a			
Direct Evidence Indirect Evidence Summative Assessr Direct Evidence	Keystone Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II			
Direct Evidence Indirect Evidence Summative Assess Direct Evidence Indirect Evidence	Keystone Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II der satisfactory acl	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II			
Direct Evidence Indirect Evidence Summative Assess Direct Evidence Indirect Evidence What do you consider	Keystone Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II der satisfactory acl	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II	Assignment as evaluated by a rubric Course Evaluations- SIR II			



Office of Assessment - Provost Office Janet Thiel, OSF, PhD, Director of Assessment

Program:	LO 1	LO 2	LO 3	LO 4		
Summative Assessment						
Direct Evidence	Rubric: 85% of students will score at or above meeting expectations in the rubric criteria related to the outcome	Rubric: 85% of students will score at or above meeting expectations in the rubric criteria related to the outcome	Rubric: 85% of students will score at or above meeting expectations in the rubric criteria related to the outcome	Rubric: 85% of students will score at or above meeting expectations in the rubric criteria related to the outcome		
Indirect Evidence	Students' satisfaction at or above 3 on a 5 point Likert Scale	Students' satisfaction at or above 3 on a 5 point Likert Scale	Students' satisfaction at or above 3 on a 5 point Likert Scale	Students' satisfaction at or above 3 on a 5 point Likert Scale		
Program Assessment Time Frame						
Time Frame for Assessing the outcome.	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 3		



Office of Assessment - Provost Office Janet Thiel, OSF, PhD, Director of Assessment

GCU Program Assessment Report Form

GCU Academic Program Assessment Annual Report		
Program:		
Division:		
Date:		
Program Assessment Liaison:		
Based on the above plan and the designated outcome(s) assessed for the academic year, the		
major program submits a Program Assessment Report annually that contains the program		
assessment plan, assessment data and analysis, and action steps to be taken by the program		
based on these results. See below for the outline of this report.		
Learning Outcome(s) Assessed:		
Description of the Assessment Protocol.		
Assessment Data and Findings.		
Analysis of Data:		
Are these results satisfactory? Why or Why not?		
Action Plan based on Assessment Results and Analysis:		
Time Frame for Action Plan:		
What actions have been taken since the previous assessment of these outcomes?		
Comments:		

Assessment Data: Please include the data that you used to complete the above report. Attach rubrics, tallies, and method of validation.